NC-501 FY2015 CoC Tier 1 and Tier 2
Funding Summary and Scoring Criteria

For the FY2015, there is a two tiered funding approach. CoC’s should carefully consider the priority ranking for all project applications submitted. Planning Projects are not ranked.

**TIER 1**
The amount of funding available for Tier 1 is equal to 85 percent ($1,016,937) of the CoC’s annual renewal demand (ARD=$1,196,396). HUD will conditionally award projects from the highest scoring CoC to the lowest scoring CoC. Projects should be placed in priority order following the review and ranking evaluation criteria attached.

**TIER 2**
The amount of funding available for Tier 2 is equal to the difference between Tier 1 and the CoC’s annual renewal demand (ARD) plus any amount available for the permanent housing bonus. Tier 2 projects will receive a point value and will be selected by the project’s point value and in the order of the CoC score:
- Funding by HUD will be determined by the point value of each project application based on a 100 point scale.
- All Tier 2 projects will be funded in point order starting with the highest scoring projects

**TIER 2 Point Value**

60 points: CoC Score (in direct proportion to the CoC application score)

20 points: CoC ranking of the project applications

10 points: Renewal and new Permanent Housing, renewal Safe Haven, Homeless Management Information System, Supportive Services Only for Coordinated Entry System, or Transitional Housing that exclusively serves homeless youth

3 points: Renewal Transitional Housing

1 point: Renewal Supportive Services Only project applications

10 points: Commitment to Housing First
PROJECT APPLICATION EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. Does the project use a Housing First approach?

2. Does the project prioritize the most vulnerable populations in the community?
   - Persons experiencing Chronic Homelessness
   - Veterans
   - Families with Children
   - Victims of Domestic Violence
   - Youth

3. How well is the project performing in achieving stated outcomes? Review Annual Performance Review (APR) if applicable for objective measurable outcomes in Standard Performance Measures.
   - Permanent Housing and Transitional Housing, projects should at least meet the HUD goal of 80% housed at exit
   - Connecting clients to mainstream benefits
   - Increasing/maintaining income or connecting clients to educational opportunities
   - Connecting clients to mainstream benefits
   - Housing stability

4. Does the project have a budget that makes sense? Review project budget for:
   - Cost-effectiveness
   - Budget appropriate based on difficulty of number of persons served, population type served in project, capacity, and number of permanent housing exits if applicable

5. Does the project fully participate in the CoC’s Coordinated Entry System?

6. Does the project coordinate services and demonstrate effective case management?
   - Participation in CoC meetings and workgroups
   - Coordination with other entities in the community

7. Does the project contribute towards goals and activities in the CoC’s strategic plan or 10 year plan?

8. If it is a new project, does the project align with the CoC’s needs, fills a gap in services, or the agency proposing the project show good past performance in other projects?